1	LAW OFFICES OF GREGORY A. YATES, P.C. 16830 Ventura Blvd., # 250 Encino, California 91436 TEL. (310) 858-6944; FAX. (818) 905-7038	
3	l '	
4 5	DANIEL C. MORGAN & ASSOC. 5851 Thille Street, Suite 103 Ventura, California 93003 TEL: (805) 815-4610; FAX: (805) 800-1880	
6		
7	Attorneys for Plaintiffs, TOMAS BARRERA, SR., individually and as a Personal Representative of THE ESTATE OF TOMAS BARRERA, JR.	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MARIA LAZOS, et al,) Case No. CV 08-02987 RGK (SHx)
12	Plaintiff,	}
13	vs.	PLAINTIFFS' MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 8 TO EXCLUDE REFERRING TO
14	CITY OF OXNARD, et al,	DECEDENT AS 'SUSPECT" OR "DEFENDANT" IN A CRIMINAL
15	Defendants.) CONTEXT
16	TOMAS BARRERA, SR.	}
17	Plaintiff,	}
18	vs.	}
19	CITY OF OXNARD, et al,	
20	Defendants.))
21		
22	TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:	
23	Plaintiffs, MARIA LAZOS and TOMAS BARRERA, SR., individually and as	
24	representatives of the ESTATE OF TOMAS BARRERA, hereby move this Court for	
25	an order excluding Defendants, their experts and witnesses from referring to decedent	
26	as a "suspect" or as a "defendant" in a criminal context.	
27	This motion is made under Fed. R. Ev. 401, 402 and 403, and is based upon the	
28	ground that the evidence is irrelevant and that its probative value is substantially	

MOTION IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE REFERRING TO DECEDENT AS A "SUSPECT" OR "DEFENDANT"

outweighed by the danger of undue prejudice to Plaintiff. This motion is further based on the attached Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the pleadings and papers on file in this action and upon such of argument and evidence as may be presented prior to or at the hearing of this motion. Dated: June 2009 LAW OFFICES OF GREGORY A. YATES, P.C. Co-Coursel for Plaintiffs, TOMAS BARRERA, SR., individually and as a Personal Representative of THE ESTATE OF TOMAS BARRERA, JR. Dated: June 2009 LAW OFFICES OF JENNY SCOVIS Counsel for Plaintiff. MARIA LAZOS, individually and as a Personal Representative of THE ESTATE OF TOMAS BARRERA, JR.

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

ANY REFERENCE TO DECEDENT AS A "SUSPECT" OR "DEFENDANT" IN A CRIMINAL CONTEXT SHOULD BE EXCLUDED AS BEING NOT RELEVANT AND HIGHLY PREJUDICIAL

Referring to decedent as a "suspect" or "defendant" in a criminal context would not prove or disprove any fact necessary to this action and will add absolutely nothing significant or valuable to the evidence. F.R.E. 401 and 402. It will, on the other hand, be highly prejudicial to Plaintiffs, as it would depict decedent as a felon or criminal, and will arouse the jury's prejudice, hostility and antipathy.

II.

CONCLUSION

Based on the above, it is respectfully requested that the Court exclude Defendants, their experts and witnesses from referring to decedent as a "suspect" or as a "defendant" in a criminal context.

17

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Dated: June 24 2009

Dated: June 2009

LAW OFFICES OF GREGORY A. YATES, P.C.

19

18

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28

Co-Counsel for Plaintiffs

TOMAS BARRERA, SR., individually and as a Personal Representative of THE ESTATE OF TOMAS BARRERA, JR.

LAW OFFICES OF JENNY SCOVIS

Counsel for Plaintiff,

MARÍA LAZOS, individually and as a Personal Representative of THE ESTATE OF TOMAS

BARRERA, JR.